Incorporated In-Region In 271 of the To (Notice of A SEEM Adm)	of BellSouth Telecom I d/b/a AT&T South C InterLATA Services Pu elecommunications A T&T's Exercise of Se inistrative Plan)	rarolina to Provide) rsuant to Section) ct of 1996 -) ction 4.5.1 of the))	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA COVER SHEET DOCKET NUMBER: 2001 - 209 - C				
(Please type or p	print) Patrick W. Turne	r	SC Bar Number: 6566 Telephone: 803-401-3	2000			
Address: Suite 5200 1600 Williams Street			•	803-401-2900 803-254-1731			
	Columbia, South Carol	ina 29201	Email: patrick.turner.1@att.	com			
Other:	cy Relief demanded in Y (Check one)	petition Re	FION (Check all that apply) equest for item to be placed on peditiously E OF ACTION (Check all th				
			`	αι αρριγ,			
Electric		Affidavit	☑ I etter				
☐ Electric ☐ Electric/Gas	3	Affidavit	X Letter Memorandum	Request			
☐ Electric/Gas		Agreement	Memorandum	Request Request for Certification			
 Electric/Gas	ecommunications	Agreement Answer	☐ Memorandum ☐ Motion	Request Request for Certification Request for Investigation			
Electric/Gas	ecommunications ter	Agreement	Memorandum	Request Request for Certification			
Electric/Gas Electric/Tele	ecommunications ter ter/Telecom.	Agreement Answer Appellate Review	☐ Memorandum ☐ Motion ☐ Objection	Request Request for Certification Request for Investigation Resale Agreement			
Electric/Gas Electric/Tele Electric/Wat	ecommunications ter ter/Telecom.	Agreement Answer Appellate Review Application	☐ Memorandum ☐ Motion ☐ Objection ☐ Petition	Request Request for Certification Request for Investigation Resale Agreement Resale Amendment			
Electric/Gas Electric/Tele Electric/Wat Electric/Wat	ecommunications ter ter/Telecom.	Agreement Answer Appellate Review Application Brief	Memorandum Motion Objection Petition Petition for Reconsideration	Request Request for Certification Request for Investigation Resale Agreement Resale Amendment Reservation Letter			
Electric/Gas Electric/Tele Electric/Wat Electric/Wat Electric/Wat Gas	ecommunications ter ter/Telecom.	Agreement Answer Appellate Review Application Brief Certificate	Memorandum Motion Objection Petition Petition for Reconsideration Petition for Rulemaking	Request Request for Certification Request for Investigation Resale Agreement Resale Amendment Reservation Letter Response			
Electric/Gas Electric/Tele Electric/Wat Electric/Wat Electric/Wat Gas Railroad Sewer	ecommunications ter ter/Telecom. ter/Sewer	☐ Agreement ☐ Answer ☐ Appellate Review ☐ Application ☐ Brief ☒ Certificate ☐ Comments	Memorandum Motion Objection Petition Petition for Reconsideration Petition for Rulemaking Petition for Rule to Show Cause	Request Request for Certification Request for Investigation Resale Agreement Resale Amendment Reservation Letter Response Response to Discovery			
Electric/Gas Electric/Tele Electric/Wat Electric/Wat Electric/Wat Gas Railroad Sewer	ecommunications ter ter/Telecom. ter/Sewer	☐ Agreement ☐ Answer ☐ Appellate Review ☐ Application ☐ Brief ☐ Certificate ☐ Comments ☐ Complaint	Memorandum Motion Objection Petition Petition for Reconsideration Petition for Rulemaking Petition for Rule to Show Cause Petition to Intervene	Request Request for Certification Request for Investigation Resale Agreement Resale Amendment Reservation Letter Response Response Response to Discovery			
Electric/Gas Electric/Tele Electric/Wat Electric/Wat Electric/Wat Gas Railroad Sewer	ecommunications ter ter/Telecom. ter/Sewer	Agreement Answer Appellate Review Application Brief Certificate Comments Complaint Consent Order	Memorandum Motion Objection Petition Petition for Reconsideration Petition for Rulemaking Petition for Rule to Show Cause Petition to Intervene Petition to Intervene Out of Time	Request Request for Certification Request for Investigation Resale Agreement Resale Amendment Reservation Letter Response Response to Discovery Return to Petition Stipulation			
Electric/Gas Electric/Wat Electric/Wat Electric/Wat Gas Railroad Sewer Telecommun	ecommunications ter ter/Telecom. ter/Sewer nications	Agreement Answer Appellate Review Application Brief Certificate Comments Complaint Consent Order Discovery	Memorandum Motion Objection Petition Petition for Reconsideration Petition for Rulemaking Petition for Rule to Show Cause Petition to Intervene Petition to Intervene Out of Time Prefiled Testimony	Request Request for Certification Request for Investigation Resale Agreement Resale Amendment Reservation Letter Response Response Response to Discovery Return to Petition Stipulation Subpoena			
Electric/Gas Electric/Tele Electric/Wat Electric/Wat Electric/Wat Gas Railroad Sewer Telecommun Transportati Water	ecommunications ter ter/Telecom. ter/Sewer nications on	Agreement Answer Appellate Review Application Brief Certificate Comments Complaint Consent Order Discovery Exhibit	Memorandum Motion Objection Petition Petition for Reconsideration Petition for Rulemaking Petition for Rule to Show Cause Petition to Intervene Petition to Intervene Out of Time Prefiled Testimony Promotion	Request Request for Certification Request for Investigation Resale Agreement Resale Amendment Reservation Letter Response Response Response to Discovery Return to Petition Stipulation Subpoena Tariff			
Electric/Gas Electric/Wat Electric/Wat Electric/Wat Gas Railroad Sewer Telecommun Transportati Water Water/Sewe	ecommunications ter ter/Telecom. ter/Sewer nications on	Agreement Answer Appellate Review Application Brief Certificate Comments Complaint Consent Order Discovery Exhibit Expedited Consideration	Memorandum Motion Objection Petition Petition for Reconsideration Petition for Rulemaking Petition for Rule to Show Cause Petition to Intervene Petition to Intervene Out of Time Prefiled Testimony Promotion Proposed Order Protest	Request Request for Certification Request for Investigation Resale Agreement Resale Amendment Reservation Letter Response Response Response to Discovery Return to Petition Stipulation Subpoena Tariff			

•



Patrick W. Turner General Counsel-South Carolina Legal Department

AT&T South Carolina 1600 Williams Street Suite 5200 Columbia, SC 29201 T: 803.401-2900 F: 803.254.1731 patrick.turner.1@att.com www.att.com

January 15, 2008

The Honorable Charles Terreni Chief Clerk of the Commission Public Service Commission of South Carolina Post Office Drawer 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Re:

Application of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. to Provide In-Region InterLATA Services Pursuant to Section 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 - (Notice of AT&T's Exercise of Section 4.5.1 of the SEEM Administrative Plan)

Docket No. 2001-209-C

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Attached for filing in the above-referenced docket is a letter from BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T South Carolina informing Global Connection Inc. that in accordance with Section 4.5.1 of the SEEM Plan, AT&T South Carolina will not pay Global Connection any SEEM remedies associated with the Reject Interval O-8 and the Firm Order Confirmation Timeliness O-9 performance measures for the month of November 2007. As explained in the attached letter, AT&T missed these measures because of Global Connection's submission of local service requests in increased quantities and without adhering to the applicable ordering guidelines.

The first attachment to the original letter contains information that is proprietary to Global Connections. AT&T South Carolina has redacted that proprietary information from the attached filing.

By copy of this letter, I am serving all parties of record with a copy of these documents as indicated on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely,

Patrick W. Turner

PWT/nml Attachments

cc: All parties of record

701458

THIS DOCUMENT IS AN EXACT DUPLICATE OF THE E-FILED COPY SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS ELECTRONIC FILING INSTRUCTIONS.



Kristen E. Shore Director - CLEC Negotiations 675 West Peachtree Street NE, Room 34S91 Email: Kristen.Shore@att.com Atlanta, GA 30375

T: 404.927,7560 F: 404-529-7839

Sent via Electronic and Certified Mail

January 11, 2008

Bassam Abdallah Director of Operations Global Connection Inc. of America 3957 Pleasantdale Road Atlanta, GA 30340

Re: Notice of AT&T's exercise of Section 4.5.1 of the SEEM Administrative Plan

Dear Mr. Abdallah:

Section 4.5.1 of the SEEM Administrative Plan provides in relevant part that AT&T is not "obligated to pay Tier-1 or Tier-2 Enforcement Mechanisms for non-compliance with a performance measure if such non-compliance results from a CLEC[']s acts or omissions that cause failed or missed performance measures. These acts or omissions include but are not limited to, accumulation and submission of orders at unreasonable quantities or times, failure to follow publicly available procedures, or failure to submit accurate orders or inquires." On several days in November 2007, Global Connection Inc. of America ("Global Connection") submitted a greatly increased quantity of local service requests ("LSRs"). Further, these submissions were not made in accordance with applicable AT&T ordering guidelines.

Global Connection's submission of such orders resulted in AT&T's inability to meet the SQM measurement standard associated with two ordering metrics -- the Reject Interval O-8 [RI] measurement and the Firm Order Confirmation Timeliness O-9 [FOCT] measurement in several states.² Accordingly, please be advised that pursuant to Section 4.5.1 of the SEEM Plan, AT&T is not obligated to pay SEEM payments associated with RI and FOCT for the month of November 2007, because the SEEM liability associated with such measures was triggered by Global Connection's actions.³

Alabama SEEM Plan, Version 2.01 (July 1, 2006), Georgia SEEM Plan, Version 3.0 (August 1, 2005)); Kentucky SEEM Plan, Version 3.00 (August 1, 2005), North Carolina SEEM Plan, Version 2.01 (July 1, 2006); South Carolina SEEM Plan, Version 2.01 (May 1, 2006). Tennessee SEEM Plan, Version 3.00 (August 1, 2005).

Both measures were missed in Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee. Only the FOCT measure was missed in Kentucky.

Global Connection's interconnection agreement ("ICA") incorporates by reference the SQM/SEEM plan. See ICA, Attachment 9.

Specifically, on several dates in November 2007, Global Connection submitted thousands of LSRs. Global Connection substantially increased its LSR submission volume without providing a forecast in advance of such submissions and without working with AT&T to establish project coordination. Global Connection has an obligation to submit orders as outlined in AT&T's ordering guidelines. Global Connection's failure to follow such guidelines placed an extraordinary demand on AT&T's ability to process such orders within the applicable performance intervals and resulted in AT&T missing the RI and FOCT measures through no fault on AT&T's part.

Enclosed for your review are two documents which show the FOCT and RI results for the month of November 2007, for: (i) Global Connection; (ii) all other competitive local exchange carriers ("CLECs") operating in the AT&T's Southeast region; and (iii) combined results for all CLECs, including Global Connection. As you can see, Global Connection's performance results are substantially lower than the performance results for all other CLECs for both measurements. Again, Global Connection's results are directly and exclusively attributable to Global Connection's failure to follow applicable ordering guidelines and its decision to substantially increase its volume of LSRs submission towards the end of November. The SEEM liability that is being withheld is also set forth in the enclosed FOCT and RI documents.

In sum, Global Connection's submission of LSRs in increased quantities and without adhering to applicable ordering guidelines caused AT&T to miss the RI and FOCT performance measures in November 2007. As a result thereof, and in accordance with Section 4.5.1 of the SEEM Plan, AT&T will not pay Global Connection any SEEM remedies associated with such measures for the month of November 2007.

Sincerely,

Kristen Shore Enclosures

cc: Alabama Public Service Commission Georgia Public Service Commission Kentucky Public Service Commission North Carolina Public Service Commission South Carolina Public Service Commission

Tennessee Regulatory Authority

These dates include, but are not limited to, November 21, 23, 24, 27, 28, and 29.

ICA. Attachment 6, § 2.1.3 obligates Global Connection to abide by AT&T's electronic interface guidelines. The relevant guideline is AT&T's Operations Support Systems (OSS) Interconnection Volume Guidelines, (Version 7.0, issued September 2007). In submitting LSRs in the volume and manner that occurred in November 2007. Global Connection failed to follow the requirements of Section 2 of the aforementioned guidelines. A copy of the OSS volume guidelines is enclosed with this letter. The guidelines are also available on the web at the following address: http://wholesale.att.com/reference_library/guides/leo/assets/pdf/oss_volume_guidelines.pdf

Global Connection Summary of LSR Submissions (Clarifications)

						274 Marie 1900 - Contractor V-100 St	ZVASOVENO LA GENTO ANDROS				
	GLOBAL C	DANIECTION		501	Station all sea	ults:November	2007		Zimin y		
	OCOLDAL C	DIVINE C TICKE	, 		All C	Others			Com	bined	
Submission Date	Total Clarified Within Benchmark	Total LSR's Clarified	Percent Within Benchmark (95% ← 10 hours)	Submission Date	Total Clarified Within Benchmark	Total LSR's Clarified	Percent Within Benotmark (95% <= 10 hours)	Submission Date	Total Clarified Within Benchmark	Total LSR's Clarified	Percent Within Benchmark (95% <= 10 hours
ļ _							•				
- - -											
- -											
Total				Total				Total			
	Global Connection	on Ri Interval			All Others R	š interval			Combined R	Interval	
Total	SR's Clarified	Total Clarified Wi	ithin Benchmerk	Total	LSR's Clarified	Total Clarified W	ithin Senchmark	Total	LSR's Clarified	Total Clarified W	ithin Benchmark

SEEM Calculation: Alebama Georgia North Carolina South Carolina Tennessee

Global Connection Summary of LSR Submissions

	明明的时间对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对对	4.5		Firm Order Con	igra (ian German)	Transfer and	กรุงการสาราชาชากระ -				
	GLOBAL C	ONNECTION			Ali c	thers			Con	bined	
Submission Date	Total FOC'd Within Benchmark	Total LSR's FOC'd	Percent Within Benchmark (95% <= 10 hours)	Submission Date	Total FOC'd Within Benchmark	Total LSR's FOC'd	Percent Within Benchmark (95% <= 10 hours)	Submission Date	Total FOC'd Within Benchmark	Total LSR's FOC'd	Percent- Within Benchmark (95% <= 10 hours)
							. — -		. American		
				- ***			· •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
											,
		-									
	Global Connection	FOC Interval		All Others FOC Interval			Combined FOC Interval				
Total	LSR's FOC'd	Total FOC'd With	in Benchmark	Total I	SR's FOC4	Total FOC'd Wit	nin Benchmark	-			
											7
EEM Calculation: labama ebengia entucky orith Carolina outh Carolina annessee	\$30,900 \$75,900 \$1,800 \$18,050 \$29,100 \$42,750										



Operations Support Systems (OSS) Interconnection Volume Guidelines

Version 7.0

September 2007

Disclaimer

This documentation is for general information purposes only and does not obligate AT&T SE to provide services specifically in the manner described herein and is subject to updated changes. AT&T SE will provide reasonable notification of changes to this documentation through the CLEC Carrier Notification process. Nothing herein shall be deemed to limit or modify any right or obligation of AT&T SE or the user of this documentation.

©2007 AT&T SE

Table of Contents

1. About This Document

- 1.1 Purpose
- 1.2 Intended Audience
- 1.3 Document Summary

2. AT&T SE Volume Guidelines

- 2.1 Annual OSS Transaction Volume Forecast
- 2.2 Forecast Volume Categories
- 2.3 Daily Volume Distribution
- 2.4 Forecasting Special Projects
- 2.5 Management of Backlogs of Data

1. About This Document

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to provide AT&T SE's Operations Support Systems (OSS) Volume Guidelines. This document does not replace any existing AT&T SE documents relative to Interconnection to AT&T SE's OSS.

The Operations Support Systems (OSS) referenced in this document are:

Electronic Data Interchange (EDI)
Telecommunications Access Gateway Extensible Markup Language (TAG XML)
Local Exchange Navigation System (LENS)

1.2 Intended Audience

The intended audience for this guide is Competitive Local Exchange Carriers (CLECs) who utilize AT&T SE OSSs.

1.3 Document Summary

This section summarizes the changes to this document as it is updated. Corrections of typographical errors, minor re-wording of sentences for clarity, and formatting changes are not included in this summary.

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Version Number	Revision	Description of Desiring		
	Date	Description of Revision		
1.0	April 2004	Initial Document		
2.0	July 2004	Revised Document		
3.0	September	Revised Document from 8/19/04 Conference Call with		
	2004	CLECs		
4.0	November	Revised Document from 10/27/04 Conference Call		
	2004	with CLECs		
5.0	February 2005	Revised Document from 1/20/05 Conference Call with		
		CLECs		
		Page 2 – Revised Disclaimer		
		Section 2.3 – Removed reference to hourly/daily volume		
		Section 2.3 – Clarified reasonable distribution of daily and hourly OSS transactions		
		 Section 2.5 – Added reference to current escalation process for handling backlogs of data 		
		Section 2.6 Impacts to Performance Measurements Deleted		

6.0	August 2006	Revised to include loop make up transactions in the forecast process. • Section 2.2-Added verbiage to include loop make up as a transaction in volume projection. • Section 2.2- Added reference link for additional information for loop make up. • Appendix A- Updated forecast template to include separate section for Loop Make-Up transactions.
7.0	September	Update to re-brand document, all sections.
	2007	Modified Attachment A to change date to 2008

2. AT&T SE Volume Guidelines

2.1 Annual OSS Transaction Volume Forecast

AT&T SE requires volume forecast to be provided on an annual basis by each CLEC. Volume forecast should be submitted to its AT&T SE E-Commerce Account Team Representative. The Volume Forecast should be provided for the upcoming year by November 1 (Example: 2005 Annual Forecast should be provided by November 1, 2004). The AT&T SE OSS Transaction Projection/Forecast Form in Appendix A should be utilized to provide the forecast.

AT&T SE has responsibility for ensuring its systems meet current and future volume needs. The forecast will provide information that will assist AT&T SE with scaling applications appropriately. It will also provide information that can be utilized by AT&T SE to minimize negative impacts from activities of one user to another.

The purpose of the OSS Interconnection Volume Guidelines is to assist in sizing AT&T SE's OSS by understanding the volume of transactions that CLECs may send on a daily and hourly basis. The Guidelines are not intended to stop or delay CLEC orders. In the event that AT&T SE detects a spike in CLEC ordering that will cause the CLEC to exceed the guidelines, AT&T SE may contact the CLEC to determine the cause for the increase and discuss ways in which the increased volume can be handled.

Volume forecast will be treated as proprietary in nature and will only be used for the purposes of OSS performance management.

2.2 Forecast Volume Categories

For purposes of forecast development for EDI/TAG XML users, the following table reflects volume categories based upon average total Pre-Order, Firm Order and Loop Make Up transactions (does not include supplemental transactions). For additional information and details for loop make up transactions, please refer to:

http://wholesale.att.com/reference library/guides/unedocs/bstlmu.doc

Cariego o	Description 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 30
High Volume	501+ Firm Order/Pre Order Transactions Per Day
Medium Volume	101-500 Firm Order/Pre Order Transactions Per Day
Low Volume	<100 Firm Order/Pre Order Transactions Per Day

CLECs in the Low Volume Category do not need to provide an annual forecast.

If a CLEC that falls within the High or Medium Volume category does not provide an annual forecast by November 1 for the upcoming year, AT&T SE will develop a forecast for the CLEC by December 1 (Example: AT&T SE would provide the 2005 Annual Forecast by December 1, 2004). AT&T SE's forecast will be based upon the past twelve (12) month's data. If the CLEC disagrees with the forecast provided by AT&T SE, the CLEC may send AT&T SE a revised forecast no later than December 15.

If a CLEC knows or has reason to believe its volumes may exceed those on their annual forecast, the CLEC should contact their AT&T SE E-Commerce Account Team Representative to advise and provide a revised volume forecast. AT&T SE requires a thirty (30) day advance notice of changes in projected Pre-Order and Firm Ordering volumes.

If the acquisition or merger of one CLEC with another results in the changes of the original forecast provided by the CLECs to AT&T SE, then the impacted CLEC should contact their AT&T SE E-Commerce Account Team Representative to provide a revised volume forecast. Forecasts may be provided for each company Operating Company Number (OCN) or collectively dependent upon the conditions of the merger/acquisition.

2.3 Daily Volume Distribution

If a CLEC chooses to bundle Local Service Requests ("LSRs") and transmit them to AT&T SE simultaneously in a large group, then the following

guidelines should be adhered to in order to ensure that no CLEC's activities negatively impact all CLEC users.

Pre-order transactions that are submitted real-time, as received, will not be subject to these guidelines. These guidelines will apply to any type of pre-order transaction that the CLEC chooses to bundle and not send in real-time as received as well as all order transactions submitted via TAG XML or EDI.

For clarification, LENS is a web-based system that provides CLECs with the capability to submit pre-order and order transactions on a real-time basis. CLECs should submit transactions via LENS following the LENS User Guide and must not use any mechanical process to log into or otherwise utilize the LENS system. Therefore, requirements in this section regarding daily volume transactions do not apply to LENS transactions.

A CLEC's average daily volume should be reasonably distributed as noted below, by OSS, during the time specified in the OSS Hours of Availability Detail by Transaction Type/Group. These OSS hours of availability can be found at http://www.interconnection.AT&T SE.com/oss/oss_hour.html, page 2.

AT&T SE's Pre-Ordering applications support an event driven model which provides for a near real-time experience to CLECs. It is AT&T SE's expectation that CLECs will communicate to AT&T SE by submitting pre-orders real-time as well and not hold or bundle pre-order transactions.

Should any CLEC exercise its capability to bundle pre-orders for any of AT&T SE's OSS, those transactions will be governed by these daily volume guidelines.

AT&T SE designs its OSS to accommodate both the current and projected aggregate demand for all CLECs based on agreed upon forecasts for the current year. Each CLEC should reasonably distribute its daily and hourly OSS transactions in an effort not to exceed the aggregate capacity that AT&T SE's OSS has been sized to support.

2.4 Forecasting Special Projects

Large volumes of Local Service Requests (LSRs) that are not contemplated in the annual forecast that would place extraordinary demand/stresses on AT&T SE OSS and provisioning applications are considered additional volumes. Additional volumes or special projects should be reasonably distributed on a daily and hourly basis, as stated in Section 2.3.

Scheduling of additional volume or special projects, i.e., those transactions which would cause a CLEC to exceed a reasonable distribution of its daily and hourly volume should be coordinated and scheduled through the AT&T SE E-Commerce Account Team ("ECAT"). For example, if a CLEC anticipates that it will have bulk migrations or batch hot cuts that may cause its hourly volume distribution to exceed the requirements of such guidelines, the CLEC should contact the ECAT to advise and work together to schedule such transactions.

Transactions submitted on behalf of the CLEC by AT&T SE are not included in the CLEC's Daily Volume Distribution as stated in Section 2.3. Transactions submitted on behalf of the CLEC by a Vendor/Service Bureau are included in the Daily Volume Distribution as stated in Section 2.3. CLECs agree to work with their Vendor/Service Bureau to ensure the requirements of the OSS Volume Guidelines are met.

2.5 Management of Backlogs of Data

If a CLEC finds it needs to send a backlog of data (CLEC hardware failure, vendor outages, weekend maintenance, etc.), the CLEC should send its transactions following the daily volume distribution guidelines in Section 2.3.

If a CLEC needs to submit orders for processing that may exceed these guidelines, the CLEC should contact its AT&T SE E-Commerce Account Team (ECAT) Representative to advise and work together on scheduling these transactions. The CLEC should provide its ECAT representative with a proposal containing the CLECs preferred method for handling the backlog (number of orders per hour, hours during which the backlog will be sent, etc).

Given the importance of timely order processing to CLEC's ability to do business, AT&T SE will work with the CLEC to schedule these transactions as expeditiously as possible.

The response from AT&T SE will include either:

- Acceptance of the CLECs preferred method of sending the backlog (number of orders per hour, hours during which the backlog will be sent, etc.) or
- Rejection of the CLEC's preferred method of sending the backlog and a detailed description of AT&T SE's preferred method of receiving the backlog (number of orders per hour, hours during which the backlog will be sent, etc).

For AT&T SE system outages, CLECs are notified via the System Outage Notification Process. Should a backlog of data be created as a result of a AT&T SE system outage, AT&T SE will contact and work with the CLEC to schedule the transactions as expeditiously as possible. Current E-Commerce Account Team escalation procedures should be followed if necessary.

Backlogs created as a result of a Release will be managed in accordance with current release management practices. These practices incorporate an expectation of increased volume once the applications become available.

		2008 AT&T SE OSS	Transaction Projection/	Forecast (
Competit	tive Local Exch	ange Carrier (CLEC) Name:	Company Code(s), OCNs or Trading Partner IDs:					
		P	PRE-ORDER					
Total Volumes		Total Transaction* Projection /Forecast Jan-Dec.	Expected High Volume Month	Avg. Daily Volume	Peak Hourly Volume (Typical Day)			
OSS	EDI							
	Tag XML							
		Number of pre-order transactions per month x 40,000 per mo	otal Pre-Order Transaction Forecast 12 months (Jan-Dec) = Total numb nth x 12 months = 480,000 total to firm order & loop make-up tra	per of pre-order transacti	ons			
			Firm Order					
Tota	al Volumes	Total Transaction* Projection /Forecast Jan-Dec.	Expected High Volume Month	Avg. Daily Volume	Peak Hourly Volume (Typical Day)			
OSS	EDI							
	Tag XML							
		L	oop Make Up		_			
Tota	al Volumes	Total Transaction* Projection /Forecast Jan-Dec.	Expected High Volume Month	Avg. Daily Volume	Peak Hourly Volume (Typical Day)			
OSS	EDI							
	Tag XML							
		Pr	imary Contact					
Name:								
Tel. Numl	ber							
E-Mail Ad	ddress		·					
			L					
*A transac	ction is defined as i	nitial firm orders (not supplemental orders) and pre-orde	er queries.	1				

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)	
)	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
COUNTY OF RICHLAND)	

The undersigned, Nyla M. Laney, hereby certifies that she is employed by the Legal Department for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s d/b/a AT&T South Carolina ("AT&T") Letter with Attachments dated January 15, 2008 in Docket No. 2001-209-C to be served upon the following this 15th day of January, 2008:

Florence P. Belser, Esquire General Counsel Office of Regulatory Staff 1441 Main Street, Suite 300 Columbia, South Carolina 29201 (U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Sonia Daniels
Area Manager- External Affairs
AT&T Services, Inc.
Suite 4W40
1230 Peachtree Street NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3574
(AT&T)
(U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

F. David Butler, Esquire Senior Counsel S. C. Public Service Commission Post Office Box 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 (PSC Staff) (U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Joseph Melchers Chief Counsel S.C. Public Service Commission Post Office Box 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 (PSC Staff) (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail) Jocelyn G. Boyd, Esquire Staff Attorney S. C. Public Service Commission Post Office Box 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 (PSC Staff) (U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Russell B. Shetterly, Esquire P. O. Box 8207 Columbia, South Carolina 29202

(Knology of Charleston and Knology of South Carolina, Inc.)

(U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Darra W. Cothran, Esquire
Woodward, Cothran & Herndon
1200 Main Street, 6th Floor
Post Office Box 12399
Columbia, South Carolina 29211
(MCI WorldCom Network Service, Inc.
MCI WorldCom Communications and
MCImetro Access Transmission Services, Inc.)
(U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

John F. Beach, Esquire
John J. Pringle, Jr., Esquire
Ellis Lawhorne & Sims, P.A.
Post Office Box 2285
Columbia, South Carolina 29202
(Resort Hospitality Services, Inc., NuVox
Communications, Inc., AIN and Momentum Business
Solutions, Inc.)

(U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Marsha A. Ward, Esquire
MCI WorldCom, Inc.
Law and Public Policy
6 Concourse Parkway, Suite 3200
Atlanta, Georgia 30328
(MCI)
(U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Frank R. Ellerbe, Esquire
Bonnie D. Shealy, Esquire
Robinson, McFadden & Moore, P.C.
1901 Main Street, Suite 1200
Post Office Box 944
Columbia, South Carolina 29202
(SCCTA)
(U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Genevieve Morelli Kelley, Drye & Warren, LLP 1200 19th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 (KMC Telecom III, Inc.) (U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

William R. Atkinson
Director – State Regulatory Affairs
233 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 2200
Atlanta, GA 30303
(Sprint/Nextel)
(U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Cheryl Sweitzer
EMBARQ
14111 Capital Boulevard
Mailstop NCWKFR0303-3192
Wake Forest, North Carolina 27587
(Sprint/United Telephone)
(U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Jack Derrick
EMBARQ
14111 Capital Boulevard
Mailstop NCWKFR0313-3192
Wake Forest, North Carolina 27587
(Sprint/United Telephone)
(U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

M. Zel Gilbert, Esquire
Director-External Affairs - Sprint
1122 Lady Street, Suite 1050
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
(Sprint/United Telephone Company)
(U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Bonnie D. Shealy, Esquire Robinson McFadden & Moore, P.C. 1901 Main Street, Suite 1200 P. O. Box 944 Columbia, South Carolina 29202 (US LEC)

(U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Andrew O. Isar
Director – State Affairs
7901 Skansie Avenue, Suite 240
Gig Harbor, WA 98335
(ASCENT)
(U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Anthony Mastando ITC^DeltaCom/BTI 7037 Old Madison Pike Suite 400 Huntsville, Alabama 35806 (U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Tami Azorsky, Esquire
McKenna & Cuneo, LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
(AT&T)
(U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Robert E. Tyson, Esquire Sowell Gray Stepp & Laffitte, LLC 1310 Gadsden Street Columbia, South Carolina 29211 (CompSouth) (U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Nyla M. Langy